

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/691,392	10/17/2000	Ronald A. Katz	244/068	3722	
29129	7590 03/31/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ADORNATO	MCCLELLAN, JAMES S			
	ORPORATION CLE HILLS DR.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WII-LEGAL		3627		
OMAHA, NI	E 68135		DATE MAILED: 03/31/200	DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Ŋ		09/691,392	KATZ ET AL.					
1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		James S McClellan	3627					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
	for Reply	V IC OUT TO EXDIDE 2 MONTH	S) EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, itses than thirty (00) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by take thick, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §7 33). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned parent teem disturbent. See 37 CFR 1.74(6).								
Status								
1)[∑	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	anuary 2005.						
		action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa							
	closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.					
Dispos	ition of Claims							
4)⊵	4) Claim(s) 19,21-23,36,39,40,42-50,68-71,75,198-213 and 215 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 19,21-23,36,39,40,42-50,68-71,75,15	98-213 and 215 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	es election requirement						
0)L	are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date					
3) 🔯 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 aper No(s)/Mail Date 10/8/04,11/15/04.	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: IDS-1/27/05	Patent Application (PTO-152) 5.					
1	nd Trademark Office	5, <u>2.3</u> 5	-					

Application/Control Number: 09/691,392

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

Applicant's submittal of an amendment was entered on 1/27/05, wherein:

claims 21-23, 36, 39, 40, 42-50, 68-71, 75, 198-213, and 215-219 are pending; claims 214 and 220-223 have been canceled; and claims 21, 68, 208-211, 215, 217, and 218 have been amended.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant's submission of an Information Disclosure Statements on 10/8/04, 11/15/04, and 1/27/05 have been entered and considered as indicated by the signed copy of each PTO-1449. Numerous NPL references were not considered because a publication date was not given (see MPEP 609: 37 CFR 1.98 (b)(5)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 21, 22, 36, 39, 40, 42-46, 50, 68-71, 75, 198-211, 217, and 218 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,295,064 (Malec et al.).

Regarding claim 21, Malec et al. discloses a method for providing at least one offer of an item, the item including at least on of a good and a service, utilizing an electronic

Art Unit: 3627

communication device (514) enabling communication between an offeror and a user of the electronic communication device comprising the steps of: establishing communication via the electronic communication device (514) between the offeror and the user to enable the user to at least initiate a primary transaction there between (see column 5, lines 7-22); obtaining primary transaction data related to the primary transaction, the primary transaction data including at least data representing an identity of the user (see column 24, lines 40-56) and data representing a geographic position of the user (see column 1, lines 58-66); utilizing the identity of the user to obtain at least a further data element related to the user (see column 24, lines 40-56); utilizing at least in part the geographic position of the user, the at least further data element, and the identity of the user to determine the at least one offer fro the item in real time (see column 5, line 16, "immediately") with the primary transaction, and offering the item to the user (see column 24, lines 40-56 and column 1, lines 58-66); [claim 22] the electronic communication device is a wireless device (see Figure 4, 504); [claim 36] the offer comprises a coupon (see column 24, line 50-52, "coupon"); [claim 39] contacting the user via the electronic communications device (514) to offer the at least one item to the user (see column 5, lines 7-22); [claim 40] the determination of the at least one offer is subject to negative decision criteria (inherent since the system utilizes user profile and location information, it is inherently negating possible offers); [claim 42] the offer is made orally to the user (see column 1, lines 59-61, "visual and aural messages"); [claim 431 the offer is made visually to the user (see column 1, lines 59-61, "visual and aural messages"); [claim 44] obtaining primary transaction data includes obtaining a geographic identifier representing the geographic position of the user (see column 1, lines 58-66); [claim 45] obtaining data from a carrier associated with the electronic communications device (see column

8, lines 41-65); [claim 46] obtaining data from a network associated with the electronic communications device (see column 8, lines 41-65); [claim 50] offering at least one item related to an entity that is geographically local to the user (inherent); [claims 208, 209] obtaining primary transaction data includes the identity of the user (see column 24, line 46, SSN) and geographic position of the user (see column 1, lines 63-64, "location of the cart in the store").

Regarding claim 68, Malec et al. discloses a method for providing at least one offer as set forth in detail for claim 21. Claims 69-75 are rejected for reasons similar to claims 22, 36, 39, 40, 42-46 as described above in detail.

Regarding claims 198-207, Malec et al. discloses [claim 198 & 201] establishing a communication via the electronic communications device includes enabling the user at least to initiate a primary transaction for at least one particular good or service sought by the user (In Malec et al. the user initiates a primary transaction in two way: first, the user travels through the store to an aisle that contains a desirable item and second, the user inserts personal identity and history information into the system via smart card); [claims 199, 200, 202, & 203] user provides data to the system (see column 24, lines 40-56); [claim 204 and 206] the data representing the geographic position of the user is communicated electronically from the user to the offeror (see column 6, lines 50-55 and the paragraph bridging columns 8-9; the path of carts through the store is tracked); and [claims 205 and 207] geographic position data is communicated in real-time (see column 5, lines 13-22, "an appropriate message 108 is displayed to the consumer 109 either immediately, or after a time delay").

Art Unit: 3627

Regarding claim 217, Malec et al. discloses a method for providing at least one offer of an item as set forth in detail for claim 21. Claim 218 is rejected for reasons similar to claims 208 and 209 as described above in detail.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 23, 47, 212, 213, 215, 216, and 219 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malec et al. in view U.S. Patent No. 6,397,057 (Malackowski et al.).

Regarding claims 23, 47, 212, 213, 215, 216, and 219, Malec et al. fails to expressly disclose a wireless phone communication device that utilizes ANI for determining a geographic identifier.

Malackowski et al. teaches the use of advertising system that sends advertisement to user via wireless telephone utilizing ANI data to determine a geographic identifier of the user (see column 11, lines 39-44).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Malec et al. with wireless ANI data as taught by Malackowski et al., because utilizing a wireless phone extends the geographic range of communication between the user and the advertiser.

7. Claims 48 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malec et la. in view of Official Notice.

Regarding claims 48 and 49, Malec et al. fails to expressly disclose a user or an operator manually entering geographic identifying information.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that it was old and well known in the art at the time the invention was made to manually enter geographic identifying information by a user and an operator.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Malec et al. with manually entered location data as is well known in the art, because manually entering the location reduces the operating expense of the advertiser to provide the hardware and software required to electronically determine the location of a user.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed January 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 9, final paragraph, Applicant argues that Malec does not obtain data that truly represents a "geographic position" of the user. More specifically, Applicant argues that Malec discloses obtaining data based on what goods the shopper is near. Malec clearly states that in the Abstract, "When the display receives a unique trigger signal, it displays advertising associated with the respective location." Malec clearly identifies the trigger signal to be a location, not a product or good. Additionally, Malec discloses in column 1, lines 61-64, "an electronic display device mounted on the cart can deliver unique messages depending on the

Application/Control Number: 09/691,392

Art Unit: 3627

<u>location</u> of the cart in the store." (emphasis added by the Examiner). A user's location in a store is a geographic location.

On page 10, final paragraph (continued on page 11), Applicant argues that Malec fails to disclose newly added limitations required by claims 208, 210, and 217; "single given primary transaction". The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The only primary action taken by the user is swiping an identification card to input user identity data. The user does not necessarily have to move the cart to cause the geographic position of the user to be identified. The geographic location of the user/cart can be determined at the original point where the user swiped the identification card, wherein movement of the cart is not necessary.

On page 12, final paragraph, Applicant argues that Malec fails to fails to disclose newly added limitations required by claims 209, 211, and 218; a single "action taken by the user to initiate contact with a system associated with the offeror". As previously argued above, the only primary action taken by the user is swiping an identification card to input user identity data. The user does not necessarily have to move the cart to cause the geographic position of the user to be identified. The geographic location of the user/cart can be determined at the original point where the user swiped the identification card, wherein movement of the cart is not necessary.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). Application/Control Number: 09/691,392

Art Unit: 3627

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jim McClellan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0212.
After April 13, 2005, call (571) 272-6786. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872/9306 (Official communications) or

(703) 746-3516 (Informal/Draft communications).

Application/Control Number: 09/691,392 Art Unit: 3627

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

James S. McClellan Primary Examiner A.U. 3627

jsm March 22, 2005